arXiv:1112.1329v1 [hep-th] 5 Dec 2011

Conformal Gravity on Noncommutative Spacetime

Martin Kober[1]*
Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität,
Ruth-Moufang-Strasse 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
(Dated: December 7, 2011)

Conformal gravity on noncommutative spacetime is considered in this paper. The presupposed gravity action consists of the Brans-Dicke gravity action with a special prefactor of the term, where the Ricci scalar couples to the scalar field, to maintain local conformal invariance and the Weyl gravity action. The commutation relations between the coordinates defining the noncommutative geometry are assumed to be of canonical shape. Based on the moyal star product, products of fields depending on the noncommutative coordinates are replaced by generalized expressions containing the usual fields and depending on the noncommutativity parameter. To maintain invariance under local conformal transformations with the gauge parameter depending on noncommutative coordinates, the fields have to be mapped to generalized fields by using Seiberg-Witten maps. According to the moyal star product and the thus induced Seiberg-Witten maps the generalized conformal gravity action is formulated and the corresponding field equations are derived.

I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of noncommutative geometry was first considered in [1]. Since that time noncommutative geometry has become one of the most important concepts in fundamental physics. There exist two approaches to formulate field theories on a spacetime with noncommuting coordinates. One possibility is the application of the the moyal star product, which was developed in [2] and [3]. It is based on Weyl quantization and enables to convert products of fields depending on noncommutative coordinates to generalized products of these fields depending on usual coordinates. These generalized products of course contain the noncommutativity parameter defining the noncommutativity algebra. Another way to treat noncommutative field theories is the coherent state approach, developed in [4],[5],[6] and extended by incorporating noncommuting momenta in [7]. In the coherent state approach are defined creation and annihilation operators from the noncommutative coordinates with respect to which coherent states are defined. By using these coherent states, plane waves depending on noncommutative coordinates can be expressed as generalized plane waves depending on usual coordinates. However, in this paper conformal gravity on noncommutative spacetime is considered and the moyal star product approach is taken as a basis. Especially, a gravity action is presupposed, which consists of a special Brans-Dicke gravity action, where the prefactor of the coupling term between the Ricci scalar and the scalar field is chosen in such a way to maintain local conformal invariance, on the one hand and of the Weyl gravity action on the other hand. Brans-Dicke theory was first developed in [8],[9]. Conformally invariant theories, where the gravitational constant arises from the scalar field, were considered in [10],[11]. In [12] has recently been considered the relation between Einstein gravity and conformal gravity.

A precondition to maintain invariance under a certain local symmetry group on noncommutative spacetime, symmetry under conformal transformations in the special case of this paper, is the introduction of Seiberg-Witten maps, which was devised in [13]. Seiberg-Witten maps relate the usual fields in the corresponding theory to generalized fields, which have to be used instead of the usual fields. The reason is that according to the moyal star product, on noncommutative spacetime additional terms appear for products of fields and this holds also for the products in the transformation rules of the fields, since the transformation parameters also have to be assumed to depend on the noncommutative coordinates, if a spacetime with noncommutative coordinates is presupposed. Based on these concepts, the moyal star product as well as the Seiberg-Witten maps, in [14],[15],[16],[17] were considered spacetime symmetries and general relativity on noncommutative spacetime. Other considerations concerning gravity on noncommutative spacetime can be found in [18],[19],[20],[21],[22],[23],[24],[25],[26],[27],[28],[29],[30],[31],[32],[33],[34],[35],[36],[37] for example and a noncommutative tetrad field was considered in [38]. In this paper, the idea of formulating a theory on noncommutative spacetime, which contains a certain symmetry, by introducing Seiberg-Witten maps is transferred to conformally invariant gravity.

The paper is structured as follows: At the beginning, the conformally invariant gravity theory and the transition to noncommuting coordinates are presented. This means that the products within the action and within the transformation rules of the fields become products between fields depending on noncommuting coordinates. These products are converted to moyal star products. To maintain gauge invariance, the fields have to be replaced by generalized fields, which can be expressed in terms of the usual fields by using Seiberg-Witten maps. Accordingly the Seiberg-Witten maps corresponding to conformal transformations of the tetrad field and the scalar field are constructed and it is shown that they fulfil the general condition defining Seiberg-Witten maps. After this the corresponding generalized geometrical quantities, the determinant of the tetrad field, the connection referring to the gravitational field and the Riemann tensor on noncommutative spacetime, are calculated to obtain the conformally invariant combined Brans-Dicke and Weyl gravity action on noncommutative spacetime under presupposition of the moyal star product approach. Finally, the corresponding field equations are derived by varying the obtained generalized gravity action with respect to the tetrad field and the scalar field.

---

*Electronic address: kober@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

II. CONFORMAL GRAVITY ACTION

Before considering noncommutative spacetime, the presupposed gravity action is presented and it is reformulated in terms of the tetrad field, since this will simplify the treatment of the noncommutative case. In this paper is presupposed a gravity action consisting of a special Brans-Dicke gravity action and the Weyl gravity action, which looks as following, if it is formulated on usual spacetime:

$$S_C = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{12}R\phi^2 + C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right), \tag{1}$$

where ϕ denotes a scalar field, $g^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the metric, g its determinant $\det g_{\mu\nu}$, R the Ricci scalar $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\rho\nu}{}^{\rho}$, where $R_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the Ricci tensor and $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ the Riemann tensor, and $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ denotes the conformal Weyl tensor depending on the metric tensor and the quantities constructed from it according to

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{2}{D-2}\left(g_{\mu\rho}R_{\sigma\nu} - g_{\mu\sigma}R_{\rho\nu} - g_{\nu\rho}R_{\sigma\mu} + g_{\nu\sigma}R_{\rho\mu}\right) + \frac{2}{(D-1)(D-2)}\left(Rg_{\mu\rho}g_{\sigma\nu} - Rg_{\mu\sigma}g_{\rho\nu}\right) \\ &= R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \left(g_{\mu\rho}R_{\sigma\nu} - g_{\mu\sigma}R_{\rho\nu} - g_{\nu\rho}R_{\sigma\mu} + g_{\nu\sigma}R_{\rho\mu}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left(Rg_{\mu\rho}g_{\sigma\nu} - Rg_{\mu\sigma}g_{\rho\nu}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

if the spacetime dimension D is assumed to be $D = 4$. The gravity action (1) is invariant under the following local conformal transformations:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{2\lambda(x)}g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \phi \rightarrow e^{-\lambda(x)}\phi, \tag{3}$$

implying the following transformations for the Ricci scalar and the squared Weyl tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} R &\rightarrow e^{-2\lambda(x)}\left[R - 2(D-1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\lambda(x)\right) - (D-1)(D-2)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\lambda(x)\partial_\nu\lambda(x)\right] \\ &= e^{-2\lambda(x)}\left\{R - 6\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\lambda(x)\right) + g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\lambda(x)\partial_\nu\lambda(x)\right]\right\}, \text{ since } D = 4 \\ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &\rightarrow e^{-4\lambda(x)}C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which are relevant for the conformal gravity action (1). That $g_{\mu\nu}$ is transformed to $e^{2\lambda(x)}g_{\mu\nu}$ implies of course that the inverse metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ is transformed to $e^{-2\lambda(x)}g^{\mu\nu}$ and that the square root of the negative determinant of the metric $\sqrt{-g}$ is transformed to $e^{4\lambda(x)}\sqrt{-g}$. The prefactor of the coupling term between the Ricci scalar and the scalar field in (1) is chosen in such a way that the transformation of this term implied by (4) cancels the transformation of the kinetic term of the scalar field ϕ. The Weyl gravity action is invariant under conformal transformations, because the appearing factor after the conformal transformation of the squared Weyl tensor (4), from which it is constructed, exactly cancels the factor of the square root of the negative determinant of the metric. With respect to the further considerations, it will be useful to rewrite the conformal gravity action (1) and reexpress it by using the tetrad formulation of the gravitational field. The tetrad field, denoted by E_μ^m in this paper, where the Greek letter denotes the spacetime index and the Latin letter denotes the Lorentz index, is related to the metric as usual according to

$$g_{\mu\nu} = E_\mu^m E_\nu^n \eta_{mn}, \tag{5}$$

where η_{mn} denotes the Minkowski metric of flat spacetime. This means that the conformally invariant gravity action (1) expressed by the tetrad field (5) reads

$$S_C = \int d^4x \; E \left[\frac{1}{2} E^{\mu m} E^{\nu}_{m} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{12} R\left(E\right) \phi^2 + C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right) C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\right], \tag{6}$$

where $E = \det E^m_\mu$ and the Riemann tensor $R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$ is now defined by the spin connection ω^{ab}_μ according to

$$R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(E) = \partial_\mu \omega^{ab}_\nu(E) - \partial_\nu \omega^{ab}_\mu(E) + \omega^{ac}_\mu(E) \omega^{cb}_\nu(E) - \omega^{ac}_\nu(E) \omega^{cb}_\mu(E), \tag{7}$$

the spin connection depends on the tetrad field in the following way:

$$\omega^{ab}_\mu(E) = 2E^{\nu a} \partial_\mu E^b_\nu - 2E^{\nu b} \partial_\mu E^a_\nu - 2E^{\nu a} \partial_\nu E^b_\mu + 2E^{\nu b} \partial_\nu E^a_\mu + E_{\mu c} E^{\nu a} E^{\sigma b} \partial_\sigma E^c_\nu - E_{\mu c} E^{\nu a} E^{\sigma b} \partial_\nu E^c_\sigma, \tag{8}$$

and the Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar are accordingly defined as: $R^a_\mu = E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$ and $R = E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$. The conformal tensor (2) expressed in terms of the tetrad field reads

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E) \;=\; & E_{\nu a} E_{\sigma b} R^{ab}_{\mu\rho}(E) - \left[E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}(E) - E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}(E) - E^a_\nu E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}(E) \right. \\ & \left. + E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}(E)\right] + \frac{1}{3}\left[E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}(E) E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}(E) E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The conformal gravity action expressed by the tetrad field (6) is invariant under the conformal transformations corresponding to (3), which are of the following form:

$$E^m_\mu \rightarrow e^{\lambda(x)} E^m_\mu = E^m_\mu + \lambda(x) E^m_\mu + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right), \quad \phi \rightarrow e^{-\lambda(x)} \phi = \phi - \lambda(x) \phi + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right), \tag{10}$$

implying that the inverse tetrad E^μ_m is transformed to $e^{-\lambda(x)} E^\mu_m$.

III. TRANSITION TO NONCOMMUTATIVE SPACETIME AND SEIBERG-WITTEN MAPS

In this section the conformal gravity action presented in the last section will be formulated on noncommutative spacetime. This means that a transition from commuting to noncommuting coordinates has to be performed, $x^\mu \rightarrow \hat{x}^\mu$. The noncommutative geometry represented by the noncommuting coordinates $\hat{x}^\mu$ is assumed to be described by canonical commutation relations between the coordinates, which are of the following shape:

$$\left[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu\right] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{11}$$

with $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ being an antisymmetric tensor of second order not depending on the spacetime coordinates. To formulate field theories on such a spacetime, the moyal star product can be used, which maps products of fields depending on noncommuting coordinates to an extended expression containing the fields depending on usual coordinates,

$$\varphi(\hat{x})\psi(\hat{x}) = \varphi(x) * \psi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu}\right) \varphi(x)\psi(y)\bigg|_{y\rightarrow x} = \varphi(x)\psi(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi(x) \partial_\nu \psi(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^2\right). \tag{12}$$

Thus the moyal star product enables the possibility to represent field theories on noncommutative spacetime as field theories with generalized expressions on usual spacetime. Performing the transition $x^\mu \rightarrow \hat{x}^\mu$ with respect to the conformally invariant gravity action (6) and replacing the thus obtained products of fields depending on noncommuting coordinates by the moyal star product according to (12) leads to a generalized action, which is not invariant under conformal transformations anymore. The reason is that within the conformal transformations (10), the usual coordinates also have to be replaced by the noncommuting ones leading to generalized transformation rules. To maintain invariance under these generalized conformal transformations, generalized fields as well as a generalized gauge parameter have to be introduced, which can be represented by the usual fields and the usual gauge parameter by using Seiberg-Witten maps. The concept of Seiberg-Witten maps was originally introduced in [13]. Accordingly, in the conformal gravity action (6) not only the usual products have to be replaced by moyal star products as representation of products between fields depending on noncommutative coordinates, but also the fields itself have to be replaced by generalized fields, $f\left(\hat{x}\right) \rightarrow \hat{f}\left(\hat{x}\right)$, where the noncommutative fields $\hat{f}$ are related to the usual fields f by Seiberg-Witten maps. In case of conformal transformations the intricacy arises that in the usual case (10) multiplying of the transformation operator from the left-hand side and from the right-hand side is equal, but in the noncommutative case this is not the case anymore, since the sign of the exponential in the moyal star product (12) is inverted, if the order of the factors is inverted. Thus the transformation in the noncommutative case has to be defined to be performed from the right-hand side or from the left-hand side. Conventionally, noncommutative transformations are performed from the left-hand side in the noncommutative case, since for a Lie group, the $U(1)$ excepted, the transformation has to be performed this way. The transformation of the adjoint field is then performed from the right-hand side. Accordingly, in this paper the conformal transformation of ϕ and E_m^μ, which is performed by the operator $e^{-\lambda}$, is assumed to be performed from the left-hand side in the noncommutative case, whereas the conformal transformation of E_μ^m as inverse of E_m^μ, which is performed by the operator e^{λ}, is performed from the right-hand side in the noncommutative case. This definition is in a certain sense analogue to the case of noncommutative Yang-Mills transformations and it maintains that Seiberg-Witten maps can be found and that the Seiberg-Witten map of the tetrad field E_μ^m is isomorphic to the Seiberg-Witten map of the inverse tetrad field E_m^μ what will be considered below. Thus the noncommutative gauge transformations of the usual fields are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\hat{x}) \quad &\rightarrow \quad e^{-\lambda(\hat{x})}\phi(\hat{x}) = e^{-\lambda(x)} * \phi(x) = \phi(x) - \lambda(x) * \phi(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right), \\
E_m^\mu(\hat{x}) \quad &\rightarrow \quad e^{-\lambda(\hat{x})}E_m^\mu(\hat{x}) = e^{-\lambda(x)} * E_m^\mu(x) = E_m^\mu(x) - \lambda(x) * E_\mu^m(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right), \\
E_\mu^m(\hat{x}) \quad &\rightarrow \quad E_\mu^m(\hat{x})e^{\lambda(\hat{x})} = E_\mu^m(x) * e^{\lambda(x)} = E_\mu^m(x) + E_\mu^m(x) * \lambda(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The replacement of the usual fields by the generalized fields leads to a generalized action corresponding to (6), which is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_C &= \int d^4x\ \hat{E} * \left[\frac{1}{2}\hat{E}^{\mu m} * \hat{E}_m^\nu * \partial_\mu\hat{\phi} * \partial_\nu\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{12}\hat{E}_a^\mu * \hat{E}_b^\nu * \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}\left(\hat{E}\right) * \hat{\phi} * \hat{\phi} + \hat{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{E}\right) * \hat{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{E}\right)\right] \\
&= \int d^4x\ \hat{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\hat{E}^{\mu m} * \hat{E}_m^\nu * \partial_\mu\hat{\phi} * \partial_\nu\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{12}\hat{E}_a^\mu * \hat{E}_b^\nu * \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}\left(\hat{E}\right) * \hat{\phi} * \hat{\phi} + \hat{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{E}\right) * \hat{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{E}\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where has been used in the second line that the following integral relation holds for the moyal star product:

$$\int d^4x\left[f * g * h\right] = \int d^4x\left[f \cdot (g * h)\right]. \tag{15}$$

In general the Seiberg-Witten maps are determined by the condition that a usual gauge transformation acting on the usual fields within the expressions of the generalized fields, which are defined by the Seiberg-Witten maps, is equal to a noncommutative gauge transformation, where the generalized gauge parameter acts on the generalized fields by presupposing the star product. In the special case of this paper, the conditions for the Seiberg-Witten maps are accordingly of the following form:

$$\delta\hat{\phi} = \hat{\delta}\hat{\phi}, \quad \delta\hat{E}_m^\mu = \hat{\delta}\hat{E}_m^\mu, \quad \delta\hat{E}_\mu^m = \hat{\delta}\hat{E}_\mu^m, \tag{16}$$

where the noncommutative gauge transformations of the noncommutative fields are in analogy to (13) defined as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\delta}\hat{\phi}(x) &= e^{-\hat{\lambda}(x)} * \hat{\phi}(x) - \hat{\phi}(x) = -\hat{\lambda}(x) * \hat{\phi}(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right), \\
\hat{\delta}\hat{E}_m^\mu(x) &= e^{-\hat{\lambda}(x)} * \hat{E}_m^\mu(x) - \hat{E}_m^\mu(x) = -\hat{\lambda}(x) * \hat{E}_m^\mu(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right), \\
\hat{\delta}\hat{E}_\mu^m(x) &= \hat{E}_\mu^m(x) * e^{\hat{\lambda}(x)} - \hat{E}_\mu^m(x) = \hat{E}_\mu^m(x) * \hat{\lambda}(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The conditions (16) containing the usual conformal transformation rule (10) and the noncommutative transformation rule (17) is fulfilled by the following Seiberg-Witten maps for the scalar field $\hat{\phi}$, the tetrad field $\hat{E}_\mu^m$, the inverse tetrad field $\hat{E}_m^\mu$ and the conformal gauge transformation parameter $\hat{\lambda}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\phi}=\phi,\quad \hat{E}^{\mu}_{m}=E^{\mu}_{m}+\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),\quad \hat{E}^{m}_{\mu}=E^{m}_{\mu}+\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{m}_{\mu}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),\\
&\hat{\lambda}=\lambda-\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

By inserting these expressions (18) to the conditions (16) and showing that both sides of the equations are equal, the validity of the Seiberg-Witten maps (18) can be proved. For the Seiberg-Witten map referring to the scalar field ϕ, the corresponding calculation is the following:

$$-\lambda\phi=-\left(\lambda-\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi\right)*\phi+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad -\lambda\phi=-\lambda\phi-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi+\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad -\lambda\phi=-\lambda\phi,\tag{19}$$

and for the Seiberg-Witten map referring to the inverse tetrad field E^{m}_{μ} the calculation is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}+\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\left(\lambda\phi\right)\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\left(\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
&=-\left(\lambda-\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi\right)*\left(E^{\mu}_{m}+\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
\Leftrightarrow\quad&-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}+\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{iE^{\mu}_{m}}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\lambda-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
&=-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}+\frac{iE^{\mu}_{m}}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
\Leftrightarrow\quad&-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{iE^{\mu}_{m}}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\lambda+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
&=-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}+\frac{iE^{\mu}_{m}}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}\phi-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
\Leftrightarrow\quad&-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{iE^{\mu}_{m}}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\lambda+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)\\
&=-\lambda E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i\lambda}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\lambda\partial_{\sigma}E^{\mu}_{m}-\frac{iE^{\mu}_{m}}{2\phi}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\lambda+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

The calculation yielding the proof of the validity of the Seiberg-Witten map of the tetrad field E^{m}_{μ} is not listed here, since it is completely analogous to the one of E^{μ}_{m} with different signs at the beginning. Because of (19) and (20) it is clear that the noncommutative gauge transformations $\hat{\delta}$ fulfil also the consistency condition, that the commutator of two gauge transformations is again a gauge transformation, the trivial transformation in this case:

$$\hat{\delta}_{\lambda}\hat{\delta}_{\kappa}-\hat{\delta}_{\kappa}\hat{\delta}_{\lambda}=\hat{\delta}_{[\lambda,\kappa]}=0.\tag{21}$$

IV. GENERALIZED DYNAMICS INDUCED BY THE NONCOMMUTATIVITY

It is now possible to calculate the action (14) as generalization of (1) preserving conformal invariance on noncommutative spacetime and containing the generalized fields being related to the usual fields by (18). To obtain the elaborated expression for the action (14), the several appearing factors have to be determined. The determinant of the generalized tetrad field containing the star product and the Seiberg-Witten map is calculated as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}\;&=\;\frac{1}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}\hat{E}^{a}_{\mu}*\hat{E}^{b}_{\nu}*\hat{E}^{c}_{\rho}*\hat{E}^{d}_{\sigma}\\
&=\;\frac{1}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}E^{a}_{\mu}E^{b}_{\nu}E^{c}_{\rho}E^{d}_{\sigma}+\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\partial_{\lambda}E^{a}_{\mu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\nu}\right)E^{c}_{\rho}E^{d}_{\sigma}+\frac{1}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}\left(\frac{i}{2\phi}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{m}_{\mu}\right)E^{b}_{\nu}E^{c}_{\rho}E^{d}_{\sigma}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),\\
&=\;\frac{1}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}E^{a}_{\mu}E^{b}_{\nu}E^{c}_{\rho}E^{d}_{\sigma}+\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\left[\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}\partial_{\lambda}E^{a}_{\mu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\nu}E^{c}_{\rho}E^{d}_{\sigma}+\frac{1}{24\phi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{m}_{\mu}E^{b}_{\nu}E^{c}_{\rho}E^{d}_{\sigma}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),\\
&\equiv\;E+\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ denotes the totally antisymmetric tensor of fourth grade and $\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)$ contains all additional terms arising from the star product and the Seiberg-Witten map. To calculate the generalized Riemann tensor on noncommutative spacetime depending on the generalized tetrad field, $\hat{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(\hat{E}\right)$, the generalized connection $\hat{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu}\left(\hat{E}\right)$ has to be determined first. Again this is done by expressing the connection in terms of the tetrad field, replacing the usual products by moyal star products and replacing the tetrad field by the generalized tetrad field and leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu} &= 2\hat{E}^{\nu a} * \partial_{\mu}\hat{E}^{b}_{\nu} - 2\hat{E}^{\nu b} * \partial_{\mu}\hat{E}^{a}_{\nu} - 2\hat{E}^{\nu a} * \partial_{\nu}\hat{E}^{b}_{\mu} + 2\hat{E}^{\nu b} * \partial_{\nu}\hat{E}^{a}_{\mu} + \hat{E}_{\mu c} * \hat{E}^{\nu a} * \hat{E}^{\sigma b} * \partial_{\sigma}\hat{E}^{c}_{\nu} - \hat{E}_{\mu c} * \hat{E}^{\nu a} * \hat{E}^{\sigma b} * \partial_{\nu}\hat{E}^{c}_{\sigma} \\
&= 2E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}E^{b}_{\nu} - 2E^{\nu b}\partial_{\mu}E^{a}_{\nu} - 2E^{\nu a}\partial_{\nu}E^{b}_{\mu} + 2E^{\nu b}\partial_{\nu}E^{a}_{\mu} + E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} - E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\left[2\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\mu}E^{b}_{\nu} - 2\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\mu}E^{a}_{\nu} - 2\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}E^{b}_{\mu} + 2\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}E^{a}_{\mu}\right. \\
&\quad + \partial_{\lambda}E_{\mu c}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} + \partial_{\lambda}E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} + \partial_{\lambda}E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} \\
&\quad + E_{\mu c}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} + E_{\mu c}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} + E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} \\
&\quad - \partial_{\lambda}E_{\mu c}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} - \partial_{\lambda}E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} - \partial_{\lambda}E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} \\
&\quad - E_{\mu c}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} - E_{\mu c}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} - E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}E^{b}_{\nu} - \frac{2\partial_{\mu}\phi}{\phi^{2}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\nu} + \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\nu} + \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu b}\partial_{\mu}E^{a}_{\nu} + \frac{2\partial_{\mu}\phi}{\phi^{2}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{a}_{\nu} - \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{a}_{\nu} - \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu b}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{a}_{\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\nu}E^{b}_{\mu} + \frac{2\partial_{\nu}\phi}{\phi^{2}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\mu} - \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\mu} - \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{b}_{\mu} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu b}\partial_{\nu}E^{a}_{\mu} - \frac{2\partial_{\nu}\phi}{\phi^{2}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{a}_{\mu} + \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{a}_{\mu} + \frac{2}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu b}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{a}_{\mu} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}E^{c}_{\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{\partial_{\sigma}\phi}{\phi^{2}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{c}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{c}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\kappa}E^{c}_{\nu} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}E^{c}_{\sigma} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\partial_{\nu}\phi}{\phi^{2}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{c}_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\kappa}E^{c}_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi E_{\mu c}E^{\nu a}E^{\sigma b}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\kappa}E^{c}_{\sigma}\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right) \\
&\equiv \omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

where in the last line all additional terms are contained in the extension term $\Omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)$, which depends because of (18) also on the scalar field ϕ. By using (23), the corresponding generalized Riemann tensor depending on the generalized tetrad field $\hat{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(\hat{E}\right)$ can be calculated,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}\hat{\omega}^{ab}_{\nu}\left(\hat{E}\right) - \partial_{\nu}\hat{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu}\left(\hat{E}\right) + \hat{\omega}^{ac}_{\mu}\left(\hat{E}\right) * \hat{\omega}^{cb}_{\nu}\left(\hat{E}\right) - \hat{\omega}^{ac}_{\nu}\left(\hat{E}\right) * \hat{\omega}^{cb}_{\mu}\left(\hat{E}\right) \\
&= \partial_{\mu}\left[\omega^{ab}_{\nu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{ab}_{\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\right] - \partial_{\nu}\left[\omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \left[\omega^{ac}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{ac}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\right] * \left[\omega^{cb}_{\nu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{cb}_{\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\right] \\
&\quad - \left[\omega^{ac}_{\nu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{ac}_{\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\right] * \left[\omega^{cb}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \Omega^{cb}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right) \\
&= \partial_{\mu}\omega^{ab}_{\nu}\left(E\right) - \partial_{\nu}\omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \omega^{ac}_{\mu}\left(E\right)\omega^{cb}_{\nu}\left(E\right) - \omega^{ac}_{\nu}\left(E\right)\omega^{cb}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \partial_{\mu}\Omega^{ab}_{\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right) - \partial_{\nu}\Omega^{ab}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\partial_{\lambda}\omega^{ac}_{\mu}\left(E\right)\partial_{\kappa}\omega^{cb}_{\nu}\left(E\right) + \omega^{ac}_{\mu}\left(E\right)\Omega^{cb}_{\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right) + \Omega^{ac}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\omega^{cb}_{\nu}\left(E\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\partial_{\lambda}\omega^{ac}_{\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_{\kappa}\omega^{cb}_{\mu}\left(E\right) - \omega^{ac}_{\nu}\left(E\right)\Omega^{cb}_{\mu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right) - \Omega^{ac}_{\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\omega^{cb}_{\mu}\left(E\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right) \\
&\equiv R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right) + \mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
$$

where all additional terms are contained in the extension $\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)$, which again also depends on the scalar field. With the generalized expression for the Riemann tensor (24) the generalized expression for the conformal Weyl tensor depending on the generalized tetrad field $\hat{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\hat{E}\right)$ can be calculated,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \hat{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \left(\hat{g}_{\mu\rho} * \hat{R}_{\sigma\nu} - \hat{g}_{\mu\sigma} * \hat{R}_{\rho\nu} - \hat{g}_{\nu\rho} * \hat{R}_{\sigma\mu} + \hat{g}_{\nu\sigma} * \hat{R}_{\rho\mu}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left(\hat{R} * \hat{g}_{\mu\rho} * \hat{g}_{\sigma\nu} - \hat{R} * \hat{g}_{\mu\sigma} * \hat{g}_{\rho\nu}\right) \\
&= \hat{E}_{\nu a} * \hat{E}_{\sigma b} * \hat{R}^{ab}_{\mu\rho} - \Big(\hat{E}^a_\mu * \hat{E}_{\rho a} * \hat{E}_{\nu b} * \hat{E}^\tau_c * \hat{R}^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - \hat{E}^a_\mu * \hat{E}_{\sigma a} * \hat{E}_{\nu b} * \hat{E}^\tau_c * \hat{R}^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - \hat{E}^a_\nu * \hat{E}_{\rho a} * \hat{E}_{\mu b} * \hat{E}^\tau_c * \hat{R}^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad + \hat{E}^a_\nu * \hat{E}_{\sigma a} * \hat{E}_{\mu b} * \hat{E}^\tau_c * \hat{R}^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\Big) + \frac{1}{3}\Big(\hat{E}^\tau_b * \hat{E}^\upsilon_c * \hat{R}^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} * \hat{E}^a_\mu * \hat{E}_{\rho a} * \hat{E}^a_\sigma * \hat{E}_{\nu a} - \hat{E}^\tau_b * \hat{E}^\upsilon_c * \hat{R}^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} * \hat{E}^a_\mu * \hat{E}_{\sigma a} * \hat{E}^a_\rho * E_{\nu a}\Big) \\
&= E_{\nu a} E_{\sigma b} R^{ab}_{\mu\rho} - \left(E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - E^a_\nu E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}\left(E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}\right) + \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\theta) + \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\phi,\theta) + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^2\right) \\
&\equiv C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E) + \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\phi,\theta) + \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^2\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\phi,\theta) = \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\theta) + \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\phi,\theta)$ and thus contains all additional terms, $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\theta)$ contains all additional terms arising from the the star product and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\phi,\theta)$ contains all additional terms arising from the Seiberg-Witten map. Accordingly the tensors $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\theta)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(E,\phi,\theta)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\Big[\partial_\lambda E_{\nu a}\partial_\kappa E_{\sigma b} R^{ab}_{\mu\rho} + \partial_\lambda E_{\nu a} E_{\sigma b}\partial_\kappa R^{ab}_{\mu\rho} + E_{\nu a}\partial_\lambda E_{\sigma b}\partial_\kappa R^{ab}_{\mu\rho} \\
&\quad - \Big(\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad + E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad + E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\lambda E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\lambda E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} + E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E_{\nu b}\partial_\lambda E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad - \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - \partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} \\
&\quad - E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} \\
&\quad - E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\lambda E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\lambda E_{\nu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} - E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E_{\nu b}\partial_\lambda E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} \\
&\quad - \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu \partial_\kappa E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad - E^a_\nu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - E^a_\nu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - E^a_\nu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad - E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}\partial_\lambda E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}\partial_\lambda E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} - E^a_\nu E_{\rho a} E_{\mu b}\partial_\lambda E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad + \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu \partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + \partial_\lambda E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} \\
&\quad + E^a_\nu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + E^a_\nu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + E^a_\nu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} \\
&\quad + E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\lambda E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\lambda E_{\mu b} E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau} + E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a} E_{\mu b}\partial_\lambda E^\tau_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}\Big(\partial_\lambda E^\tau_b \partial_\kappa E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad + \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad + E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad + E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\rho a} E^a_\sigma \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} + E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\lambda E^a_\sigma \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b \partial_\kappa E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - \partial_\lambda E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c \partial_\kappa R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b \partial_\lambda E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c \partial_\lambda R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu \partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\lambda E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} \\
&\quad - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\rho E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu \partial_\lambda E_{\sigma a} E^a_\rho \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a} - E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon} E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\lambda E^a_\rho \partial_\kappa E_{\nu a}\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = {} & \frac{i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}}{2\phi}\left(\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E_{\nu a}E_{\sigma b}R^{ab}_{\mu\rho}+\partial_\lambda\phi E_{\nu a}\partial_\kappa E_{\sigma b}R^{ab}_{\mu\rho}\right)+E_{\nu a}E_{\sigma b}\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\rho}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\\
& -\Bigg[\frac{i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}}{2\phi}\big(\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\mu\partial_\kappa E_{\rho a}E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}\\
& -\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\mu\partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a}E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E_{\nu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\\
& -\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\nu\partial_\kappa E_{\rho a}E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}\\
& +\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\nu\partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a}E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}E_{\mu b}\partial_\kappa E^\tau_c R^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\big)\\
& +E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c\mathcal{R}^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)-E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E_{\nu b}E^\tau_c\mathcal{R}^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)-E^a_\nu E_{\rho a}E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c\mathcal{R}^{bc}_{\sigma\tau}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)+E^a_\nu E_{\sigma a}E_{\mu b}E^\tau_c\mathcal{R}^{bc}_{\rho\tau}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\Bigg]\\
& +\frac{1}{3}\Bigg[\frac{i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}}{2\phi}\big(\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b\partial_\kappa E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a}\\
& +\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu\partial_\kappa E_{\rho a}E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a}+\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E^a_\sigma\partial_\kappa E_{\nu a}\\
& -\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b\partial_\kappa E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\partial_\kappa E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}\\
& -\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu\partial_\kappa E_{\sigma a}E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}\partial_\kappa E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}-\partial_\lambda\phi E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c R^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E^a_\rho\partial_\kappa E_{\nu a}\big)\\
& +E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c\mathcal{R}^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)E^a_\mu E_{\rho a}E^a_\sigma E_{\nu a}-E^\tau_b E^\upsilon_c\mathcal{R}^{bc}_{\tau\upsilon}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)E^a_\mu E_{\sigma a}E^a_\rho E_{\nu a}\Bigg].
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

Inserting the generalized determinant (22), the generalized Riemann tensor (24) depending on the generalized connection defined in (23) and the generalized conformal tensor (25) depending on (24) to the generalized conformally invariant gravity action (14) yields the following expression for the generalized conformal gravity action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_C = {} & \int d^4x\Bigg\{E\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{12}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^2+C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)+i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\Bigg(\frac{1}{4}\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}\partial_\kappa E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\kappa\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\kappa\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{4}E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\kappa\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{24}\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a\partial_\kappa E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^2\\
& +\frac{1}{12}\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a E^\nu_b\partial_\kappa R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^2+\frac{1}{6}\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_\kappa\phi+\frac{1}{12}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b\partial_\lambda R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_\kappa\phi+\frac{1}{24}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa\phi\\
& +\frac{1}{2\phi}\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{12}\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\Bigg)+\frac{1}{12}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\phi^2+2C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\mathcal{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\Bigg]\\
& +\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{12}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^2+C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\right]\Bigg\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^2\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

Variation of this action (28) with respect to ϕ and E^μ_m, $\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta\phi}$ and $\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta E^\mu_a}$, yields the corresponding field equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\partial_\mu\left(EE^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\nu\phi\right)+\frac{1}{6}EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi+i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\Bigg[-\frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu\left(E\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}\partial_\kappa E^\nu_m\partial_\nu\phi\right)-\frac{1}{4}\partial_\nu\left(E\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}\partial_\kappa E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\right)\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\kappa\partial_\mu\left(E\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\nu\phi\right)+\partial_\nu\left(E\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\kappa\partial_\mu\phi\right)+\partial_\kappa\partial_\nu\left(E\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\right)+\partial_\mu\left(E\partial_\lambda E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\kappa\partial_\nu\phi\right)\right)\\
& -\frac{1}{4}\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu\left(EE^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\kappa\partial_\nu\phi\right)-\frac{1}{4}\partial_\kappa\partial_\nu\left(EE^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu\phi\right)+\frac{1}{12}E\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a\partial_\kappa E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi+\frac{1}{6}E\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a E^\nu_b\partial_\kappa R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\\
& +\frac{1}{6}E\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_\kappa\phi-\frac{1}{6}\partial_\kappa\left(E\partial_\lambda E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\right)+\frac{1}{12}EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b\partial_\lambda R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_\kappa\phi-\frac{1}{12}\partial_\kappa\left(EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b\partial_\lambda R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\right)\\
& -\frac{1}{24}\partial_\lambda\left(EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_\kappa\phi\right)-\frac{1}{24}\partial_\kappa\left(EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_\lambda\phi\right)-\frac{1}{2\phi^2}E\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\phi}E\partial_\kappa E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\right)\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\left(\frac{1}{\phi}E\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\nu\phi\right)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu\left(\frac{1}{\phi}E\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\right)-\frac{1}{12}\partial_\lambda\left(E\partial_\kappa E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\right)+\frac{1}{12}E\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\kappa E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\Bigg]\\
& +\frac{1}{6}EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\phi+\frac{1}{12}EE^\mu_a E^\nu_b\frac{\delta\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)}{\delta\phi}\phi^2+2EC_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\frac{\delta\mathcal{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)}{\delta\phi}+\frac{\delta\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)}{\delta\phi}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\\
& +\frac{1}{12}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^2+C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\Bigg]-\partial_\mu\left(\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)E^{\mu m}E^\nu_m\partial_\nu\phi\right)+\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)E^\mu_a E^\nu_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^2\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-EE^{a}_{\mu}\Bigl\{\frac{1}{2}E^{\mu m}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{12}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\\
&+i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\Bigl[\frac{1}{4}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\mu m}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\mu m}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\mu m}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{4}E^{\mu m}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}\phi\\
&+\frac{1}{24}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\mu}_{a}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}\partial_{\kappa}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\partial_{\lambda}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi+\frac{1}{12}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}\partial_{\lambda}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi\\
&+\frac{1}{24}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi+\frac{1}{2\phi}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\mu m}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{12}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\Bigr]+\frac{1}{12}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\phi^{2}\\
&+2C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\mathcal{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\Bigr\}+\frac{\delta\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\left[\frac{1}{2}E^{\mu m}E^{\nu}_{m}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{12}E^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\right]\\
&+EE^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{6}EE^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+2E\frac{\delta C_{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}C^{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)+i\theta^{\lambda\kappa}\Bigl[-\frac{1}{4}\partial_{\lambda}\left(E\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi\right)-\frac{1}{4}\partial_{\kappa}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\lambda}\left(EE^{\nu a}\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi\right)+\frac{1}{2}E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}\phi-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\lambda}\left(EE^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}\phi\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}EE^{\nu a}\partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{4}EE^{\nu a}\partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\nu}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\partial_{\mu}\phi-\frac{1}{24}E^{\mu}_{a}\partial_{\lambda}\left(E\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{24}E^{\mu}_{a}\partial_{\kappa}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{24}E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\rho}_{c}\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu}_{b}\frac{\delta R^{cb}_{\rho\nu}}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu}_{b}\partial_{\kappa}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}-\partial_{\lambda}\left(EE^{\nu}_{b}\partial_{\kappa}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}\right)-\frac{\delta R^{cb}_{\rho\nu}}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\partial_{\kappa}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\rho}_{c}E^{\nu}_{b}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{6}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi-\partial_{\lambda}\left(EE^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi\right)+E\partial_{\lambda}E^{\rho}_{c}E^{\nu}_{b}\frac{\delta R^{cb}_{\rho\nu}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi+EE^{\nu}_{b}\partial_{\lambda}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{12}\frac{\delta R^{cb}_{\rho\nu}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\partial_{\lambda}\left(EE^{\rho}_{c}E^{\nu}_{b}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi\right)+\frac{1}{12}EE^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi+\frac{1}{24}EE^{\mu}_{a}E^{\nu}_{b}\frac{\delta R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}\phi+\frac{1}{2\phi}E\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi\\
&-\partial_{\kappa}\left(\frac{1}{2\phi}E\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi\right)+\frac{1}{12}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi-\partial_{\kappa}\left(E\partial_{\lambda}\phi E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi\right)+E\partial_{\lambda}\phi\partial_{\kappa}E^{\rho}_{c}E^{\nu}_{b}\frac{\delta R^{cb}_{\rho\nu}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\phi\right)\Bigr]\\
&+\frac{1}{6}EE^{\nu}_{b}\mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\phi^{2}+\frac{1}{12}EE^{\rho}_{c}E^{\nu}_{b}\frac{\delta\mathcal{R}^{cb}_{\rho\nu}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\phi^{2}+2E\frac{\delta C_{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\mathcal{C}^{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)+2EC_{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\frac{\delta\mathcal{C}^{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\\
&+\mathcal{E}\left(E,\phi,\theta\right)\left[E^{\nu a}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi+\frac{1}{6}E^{\nu}_{b}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\left(E\right)\phi^{2}+\frac{1}{12}E^{\rho}_{c}E^{\nu}_{b}\frac{\delta R^{cb}_{\rho\nu}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}\phi^{2}+2\frac{\delta C_{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)}{\delta E^{\mu}_{a}}C^{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma}\left(E\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{2}\right)=0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

In the field equations (29) and (30), the variation of the Riemann tensor, of the Weyl tensor, of their extenstions and of the extension of the determinant of the terad field lead to boundary terms vanishing through integration.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

It has been formulated conformal gravity on noncommutative spacetime. The presupposed gravity action consists of the conformal Weyl gravity action and a special Brans-Dicke action, where the prefactor of the coupling term between the Ricci scalar and the scalar field has been chosen in such a way that its transformation cancels the transformation of the kinetic term of the scalar field under conformal transformations. The geometry of the noncommutative spacetime has been assumed to be described by canonical commutation relations of the coordinates. To treat the gravity action as field theory on noncommutative spacetime, the moyal star product approach has been used, which is based on Weyl quantization and maps products of fields depending on noncommuting coordinates to generalized products of these fields depending on usual commuting coordinates and the tensor determining the nontrivial commutation relations of the coordinates. If the conformal gravity action were reformulated by just transforming usual products to moyal star products, conformal invariance would be spoiled, because the products within the transformation rules containing the gauge parameter have to be transformed to star products, too. To maintain a certain local symmetry on noncommutative spacetime, conformal symmetry in the special case considered in this paper, Seiberg-Witten maps have to be introduced mapping the fields to generalized fields depending on the original fields and on the noncommutativity tensor and mapping the gauge parameter to a generalized gauge parameter. Accordingly, the corresponding Seiberg-Witten maps have been formulated by using the consistency condition that a usual gauge transformation of the generalized fields, what means a commutative gauge transformation of the usual fields appearing in the expression of the Seiberg-Witten map, has to be equal to a noncommutative gauge transformation of the generalized fields with the generalized gauge parameter. Whereas the Seiberg-Witten map of the scalar field maps the usual scalar field to itself implying that the noncommuting quantity equals the usual quantity, the gauge parameter as well as the tetrad field describing the gravitational field and the inverse tetrad field are modified decisively. Replacing the usual coordinates by the noncommuting coordinates, replacing the corresponding products of fields by the moyal star product and inserting the obtained Seiberg-Witten maps to the gravity action have yielded the generalized gravity action from which the field equations of gravity can be extracted. Since the Seiberg-Witten map of the tetrad field also contains the scalar field, this leads to a very complicated interaction structure between the tetrad field and the scalar field, because the generalized Ricci scalar as well as the generalized Weyl tensor depend on the scalar field. As theory on noncommutative spacetime the presented conformal gravity theory could be seen as a classical approximation to a fundamental quantum theory of gravity, where the problem of nonrenormalizability does perhaps not appear. If the conformal symmetry would be interpreted as fundamental symmetry, the theory should be combined with a conformal invariant version of the standard model. In combination with a further scalar field, the Higgs field of the standard model coupled in an appropriate way to the other scalar field for example, and under presupposition of self-interaction terms of fourth order for the scalar fields, the gravitational constant could be generated by spontaneous symmetry breaking without violating conformal invariance and this would lead to the usual Einstein-Hilbert term on noncommutative spacetime. The application of the modified gravity theory to cosmology could yield a possible explanation of the acceleration of the expansion rate of the universe.

---

[1] H. S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **71** (1947) 38.
[2] H. J. Groenewold, Physica **12** (1946) 405.
[3] J. E. Moyal, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **45** (1949) 99-124.
[4] A. Smailagic and E. Spallucci, J. Phys. A **36** (2003) L517 [arXiv:hep-th/0308193].
[5] A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, J. Phys. A **A36** (2003) L467. [hep-th/0307217].
[6] A. Smailagic and E. Spallucci, J. Phys. A **37** (2004) 1 [Erratum-ibid. A **37** (2004) 7169] [arXiv:hep-th/0406174].
[7] M. Kober and P. Nicolini, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 245024 [arXiv:1005.3293 [hep-th]].
[8] C. Brans, R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124** (1961) 925-935.
[9] C. H. Brans, Phys. Rev. **125** (1962) 2194-2201.
[10] Y. Fujii, Phys. Rev. D **26** (1982) 2580.
[11] R. Foot, A. Kobakhidze, K. L. McDonald and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 035006 [arXiv:0709.2750 [hep-ph]].
[12] J. Maldacena, arXiv:1105.5632 [hep-th].
[13] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909** (1999) 032 [arXiv:hep-th/9908142].
[14] X. Calmet, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 085012 [arXiv:hep-th/0411147].
[15] X. Calmet and A. Kobakhidze, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 045010 [arXiv:hep-th/0506157].
[16] X. Calmet and A. Kobakhidze, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 047702 [arXiv:hep-th/0605275].
[17] X. Calmet, Europhys. Lett. **77** (2007) 19902 [arXiv:hep-th/0510165].
[18] A. H. Chamseddine, G. Felder, J. Frohlich, Commun. Math. Phys. **155** (1993) 205-218. [hep-th/9209044].
[19] J. Madore, J. Mourad, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D3** (1994) 221-224. [gr-qc/9307030].
[20] M. Heller, W. Sasin, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1619-1642.
[21] M. Heller, Z. Odrzygozdz, L. Pysiak, W. Sasin, Gen. Rel. Grav. **36** (2004) 111-126. [gr-qc/0311053].
[22] M. Heller, L. Pysiak, W. Sasin, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 122501. [gr-qc/0504014].
[23] J. W. Moffat, Phys. Lett. **B491** (2000) 345-352. [hep-th/0007181].
[24] J. W. Moffat, Phys. Lett. **B493** (2000) 142-148. [hep-th/0008089].
[25] Y. Okawa, H. Ooguri, Nucl. Phys. **B599** (2001) 55-82. [hep-th/0012218].
[26] I. Avramidi, Phys. Lett. **B576** (2003) 195-198. [hep-th/0310009].
[27] H. S. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21** (2006) 2637-2647. [hep-th/0402002].
[28] P. Aschieri, C. Blohmann, M. Dimitrijevic, F. Meyer, P. Schupp, J. Wess,
Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 3511-3532. [hep-th/0504183].
[29] E. Harikumar, V. O. Rivelles, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 7551-7560. [hep-th/0607115].
[30] R. Banerjee, P. Mukherjee, S. Samanta, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 125020. [hep-th/0703128].
[31] F. Muller-Hoissen, AIP Conf. Proc. **977** (2008) 12-29. [arXiv:0710.4418 [gr-qc]].
[32] D. V. Vassilevich, Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009) 145010. [arXiv:0904.3079 [hep-th]].
[33] V. Faraoni, E. Gunzig and P. Nardone, Fund. Cosmic Phys. **20** (1999) 121 [arXiv:gr-qc/9811047].
[34] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani, JHEP **0906** (2009) 086. [arXiv:0902.3817 [hep-th]].
[35] Y. -G. Miao, S. -J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 084017. [arXiv:1004.2118 [hep-th]].
[36] P. Nicolini, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 044030. [arXiv:1005.2996 [gr-qc]].
[37] Y. -G. Miao, Z. Xue, S. -J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 024023. [arXiv:1006.4074 [hep-th]].
[38] M. Kober, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 225021 (2011) [arXiv:1107.1071 [hep-th]].